

2006-06-29 Developers Conference Call

<html><head><title></title></head><body>* patient_identifier.location_id is currently required. Should it be?

- - PIH identifiers are cross-site. One option is to make patient_identifier.location_id be nullable. Another is to interpret that as the assigning location. A third would be to count on locations being heirarchical.
 - RG would prefer to keep this field required and either:
 1. Use the assigning location
 2. Define locations broad enough to encompass the use of the identifier
- Within a "program", a patient should be able to have multiple parallel "statuses".
 - Redefine patient status machine:
 - program — *defines a high level program, e.g., MDR-TB treatment program*
 - program_workflow — *define a single state machine belonging to a program*
 - program_workflow_state — *defines possible states for a workflow*
 - program_workflow_transition — *defines possible transitions within a workflow*
 - patient_program — *enrolls a patient into a program*
 - patient_status — *assigns a patient to a status within a given workflow*
- RG will go through items discussed last week, turn them into tickets, and start organizing those tickets into timelines (1.1 for August 15th deadline, 1.2 for beyond)

</body></html>